ı	1			
	Case 2:22-cv-02269-WBS-AC Documer	nt 23	Filed 04/16/24	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	MICHAEL FIORITO,	N	o. 2:22-cv-2269	WBS AC P
12	Petitioner,			
13	v.	<u>F</u>	INDINGS AND R	RECOMMENDATIONS
14	FIKES, et al.,			
15	Respondents.			
16		_		
17	Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a habeas corpus petition filed			
18	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. On February 7, 2024, respondent filed a motion to dismiss the			
19	petition. ECF No. 21. On March 18, 2024, petitioner was ordered to file and serve, within			
20	twenty-one days, an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the pending motion. ECF No.			
21	22. In the same order, petitioner was informed that failure to file an opposition would result in a			
22	recommendation that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuan			
23	to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). Id. The twenty-one-day period has now expired, and			

to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). Id. The twenty-one-day period has now expired, and petitioner has not filed a response to the motion or otherwise responded to the court's order.

For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

24

25

26

27

28

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: April 15, 2024 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE